



2116  
JFW

Whereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Services on the date set forth below as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Signature

And

Deposit 2-4-05

  
Michael A. Jaskolski

Reg. No. 37,551

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Phani Kumar Bidarahalli GS-5901  
Serial No.: 09/846,816  
Filed: May 1, 2001  
Title: Object Oriented Framework For Scanner Workstation Configuration  
Art Unit: 2116  
Examiner: Eric Chang  
Our Ref.: 390086.94715

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington DC 20231

### Box: Amendment

Dear Sir:

In response to the Patent Office Action dated November 4, 2004, please consider the following remarks.

---

### RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

---

#### Remarks

The following numbered paragraphs are provided to respond to the similarly numbered paragraphs in the Office Action (e.g., paragraph "4" below corresponds to paragraph 4 in the Office Action).

4-19 The Office Action rejected claim 1 as obvious over the Microsoft reference in view of Watts and Gulick. Applicant respectfully yet strongly traverses this rejection.

As recognized in the Office Action, neither of the Microsoft reference nor Watts teaches that non-preferred applications that are booted should be disabled.

Similarly, Gulick fails to teach or suggest that non-preferred applications are automatically disabled after preferred applications are enabled as required by claim 1. To this end, Gulick teaches that when an application is attempted to be initiated and insufficient resources are available to perform the application, a user may, in at